

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Davidson
<b>Given Name</b>	Frances
<b>Person ID</b>	1285857
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Davidson
<b>Given Name</b>	Frances
<b>Person ID</b>	1285857
<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</b>	8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Building in Boothstown seems to be centering on using green spaces near the RHS to provide high value homes and is already encroaching on green space areas towards Leigh and Irlam. Hoe does this comply with your objective? There is only one road through Boothstown centre which is already overloaded with traffic. 300 new homes will add to the traffic chaos there already is. The local deer are no longer free to roam, the young that are sent out when the newborn arrive are dying in the canal or being terrified running through the town. I cannot see how proposed development in this area supports your strategic objectives in anyway. Surely poor quality housing and derelict premises could be reused to provide the diverse and affordable quality housing. There are many council houses empty that could be redeveloped
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters</b>	I would suggest that rather than developing outskirts on green spaces that overload existing infrastructure that locations in towns that are unused or under used be revamped or redeveloped.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Davidson
<b>Given Name</b>	Frances
<b>Person ID</b>	1285857
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 1 Sustainable Development
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Why are green spaces still being built on? There has been no thought about the impact on traffic congestion, utilities, schools availability, access to GPS and dental care
<b>Family Name</b>	Davidson
<b>Given Name</b>	Frances
<b>Person ID</b>	1285857
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 2 Carbon and Energy
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to</b>	There are still proposals to build on woodland areas near me e.g. near the new RHS. Why on earth is this being considered. The public woods are full of litter, Peel holdings seem to control every development in Salford and seem to be more interested in money making than your objectives

<b>comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Peel holdings need to be cognisant of your objectives and to work within them
<b>Family Name</b>	Davidson
<b>Given Name</b>	Frances
<b>Person ID</b>	1285857
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 3 Heat and Energy Networks
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	In light of upcoming requirements for housing to have heating pumps and electric chargers has any thought been given as to how this supply of electricity will be maintained, there isn't the infrastructure or capacity
<b>Family Name</b>	Davidson
<b>Given Name</b>	Frances
<b>Person ID</b>	1285857
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 4 Resilience
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	What provision is being made for extra GP and dental services? In case of another pandemic? People moving to Boothstown are already unable to register with doctors and dentists
<b>Family Name</b>	Davidson
<b>Given Name</b>	Frances
<b>Person ID</b>	1285857
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 6 Clean Air
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Clean air by adding another 600 vehicles at least to a village with one main road through it? Really?
<b>Family Name</b>	Davidson
<b>Given Name</b>	Frances
<b>Person ID</b>	1285857
<b>Title</b>	JP-J 1 Supporting Long Term Economic Growth
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound

## Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Boothstown had the railway shut down, its a long walk to a train station or guided bus way. There"s only one road through it. The bus service is rubbish. Do you really think your building plans won"t massively affect the air quality here? We are already awash with HFVs coming off the m60 using Boothstown as a cut through to the East lancs
<b>Family Name</b>	Davidson
<b>Given Name</b>	Frances
<b>Person ID</b>	1285857
<b>Title</b>	JP-J 3 Office Development
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	There is so much unoccupied office space in Salford it"s unreal
<b>Family Name</b>	Davidson
<b>Given Name</b>	Frances
<b>Person ID</b>	1285857
<b>Title</b>	JP-J 4 Industry and Warehousing Development
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	There are so many business premises empty and you want to build more?
<b>Family Name</b>	Davidson
<b>Given Name</b>	Frances
<b>Person ID</b>	1285857
<b>Title</b>	JP-P1 Sustainable Places
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The proposals are to build at least 300 new homes here on green spaces. There will be a devastating impact on local wildlife, walking and cycling, the volume of cars added will be at least 600. They will all be "executive" style homes at very expensive prices. No provision is being made regarding road infrastructure, access to schools, medical services. How will building homes here help your ideas of development in the City Centre? By adding to congestion?
<b>Family Name</b>	Davidson
<b>Given Name</b>	Frances
<b>Person ID</b>	1285857
<b>Title</b>	JP-P2 Heritage
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The heritage in Boothstown is access to green spaces and waterways for exercise. Building here reduces that leisure provision immediately
<b>Family Name</b>	Davidson
<b>Given Name</b>	Frances
<b>Person ID</b>	1285857
<b>Title</b>	JP-P5 Education Skills and Knowledge
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	There are already issues here with primary school places and no plans have been made known about any developments in this area regarding schools
<b>Family Name</b>	Davidson
<b>Given Name</b>	Frances
<b>Person ID</b>	1285857
<b>Title</b>	JP-P6 Health

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	People are already struggling to access GP and dental care here. Salford Royal is extremely busy already
Family Name	Davidson
Given Name	Frances
Person ID	1285857
Title	JP-P7 Sport and Recreation
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	There are the football fields in Boothstown and a small park along with a busy community centre. We have access to walks and the canals which have been extremely busy during the pandemic. To put more pressure on those areas is shameful. I became a Street champion due to the fact that increased use of the Green spaces has led to a litter problem, the areas for walking, cycling horse riding and wildlife were in a dreadful state. I collect an average of 65 bags of litter from Boothstown each month. It could be much more. What proposals do you have to reduce littering?
Family Name	Davidson
Given Name	Frances
Person ID	1285857



Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Title</b>	JPA 27: Land East of Boothstown
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	There are plans for executive housing here. The access appears to be onto Leigh Rd the only road through the village. No plans appear to have been made for extra schools, the amount of vehicles and pollution, access to GPS and dentists. No plans have appeared for better transport infrastructure. The guided bus way is quite a distance from here. Leigh Rd is already extremely busy and there are many delays during peak periods. You would also be further reducing green areas of Boothstown that have been chipped away at through the last 20 years.